This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,481	11/20/2001	Raymond Ming Wah Chau	12592-4	9849
75	90 02/20/2003			
James W. Collett, Ph.D. Sheldon & Mak 225 S. Lake Avenue, 9th Floor			EXAMINER	
			NICHOLS, CHRISTOPHER J	
Pasadena, CA 91101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/989,481	CHAU, RAYMOND MING WAH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	TL 804 U DIA DATE 411	Christopher Nichols, Ph.D.	1647			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
I HE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CRUSE the application to become ARANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u> August 2002</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
I	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	<u> </u>					
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) 🗌 🧵	he specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 T	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			



Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 9-10, and 12-16 (each in part), drawn to a method for promoting the survival, growth, proliferation, or maintenance of mammalian neurons comprising administering to the neurons an effective amount of a purified polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 wherein the neurons are motorneurons, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - II. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, and 15-16 (each in part), drawn to a method for promoting the survival, growth, proliferation, or maintenance of mammalian neurons comprising administering to the neurons an effective amount of a purified polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 wherein the neurons are spinal cord neurons in an **injured but not severed spinal cord**, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - III. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, and 15-16 (each in part), drawn to a method for promoting the survival, growth, proliferation, or maintenance of mammalian neurons comprising administering to the neurons an effective amount of a purified polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 wherein the neurons are spinal cord neurons in a **severed spinal cord**, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - IV. Claims 17-23, 25, and 28-32 (each in part), drawn to a method for promoting the survival, growth, proliferation, or maintenance of mammalian neurons comprising administering to the neurons an effective amount of a purified polypeptide



Art Unit: 1647

comprising SEQ ID NO: 3 wherein the neurons are motorneurons, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.

- V. Claims 17-21, 23-24, 26, 29, and 31-32 (each in part), drawn to a method for promoting the survival, growth, proliferation, or maintenance of mammalian neurons comprising administering to the neurons an effective amount of a purified polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 3 wherein the neurons are spinal cord neurons in an injured but not severed spinal cord, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
- VI. Claims 17-21, 23-24, 26, 29, and 31-32 (each in part), drawn to a method for promoting the survival, growth, proliferation, or maintenance of mammalian neurons comprising administering to the neurons an effective amount of a purified polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 3 wherein the neurons are spinal cord neurons in a severed spinal cord, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
- VII. Claims 33 and 34 (each in part), drawn to a method of promoting the differentiation of neural stem cells into neural cells comprising administering to the neural stem cells an effective amount of SEQ ID NO: 3, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
- VIII. Claims 33 and 34 (each in part), drawn to a method of promoting the differentiation of neural stem cells into neural cells comprising administering to the neural stem cells an effective amount of SEQ ID NO: 4, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.





Art Unit: 1647

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive Inventions that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other. Invention I requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 4 to motorneurons. which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention II requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 4 to spinal cord neurons in an injured but not severed spinal cord, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention III requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 4 to spinal cord neurons in a severed spinal cord, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention IV requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 3 to motorneurons, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention V requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 3 to spinal cord neurons in an injured but not severed spinal cord, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention VI requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 3 to spinal cord neurons in a severed spinal cord, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention VII requires search and consideration of administering SEO ID NO: 3 to neural stem cells, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention VIII requires search and consideration of administering SEQ ID NO: 4 to neural stem cells, which is not required by any of the other Inventions.



Art Unit: 1647

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Peripheral nerve injuries
 - b. Musculoskeletal disorders
 - c. Spinal cord injuries
 - d. Head injuries
 - e. Strokes
 - f. Neuromuscular degenerative diseases
 - g. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
 - h. Spinal muscular atrophy
 - i. Peripheral neuropathy
 - j. Inhibition of scar formation
 - k. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy
 - l. Peripheral neuropathy resulting from AIDS
 - m. Peripheral neuropathy resulting from radiation treatment for cancer
 - n. Multiple sclerosis
 - o. Muscular dystrophy
 - p. Myasthenia gravis
 - q. Sensory neuronal function disorders

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 54 is generic.



Art Unit: 1647

If applicant selects any one of Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, or VI one species from the medical condition group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search requirements, and/or different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/989,481

Art Unit: 1647

5:00PM.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher James Nichols, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-3955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30AM to

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. The fax phone numbers for the customer service center is 703-872-9305.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

CJN

February 6th, 2003

GARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER